

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
 United States Attorney
 JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, Civil Division
 EDWARD OLSEN, CSBN 214150
 Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
 San Francisco, California 94102
 Telephone: (415) 436-6915
 FAX: (415) 436-6927

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CUI YING LIN,)	No. C 07-3439 JSW
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	ANSWER
MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of the)	
Department of Homeland Security;)	
EMILIO GONZALEZ, Director of U.S.)	
Citizenship and Immigration Services;)	
ROSEMARY MELVILLE, District Director)	
of the San Francisco U.S. Citizenship and)	
Immigration Services;)	
ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, Director of the)	
Federal Bureau of Investigations,)	
Defendants.)	

Defendants hereby submit their answer to Plaintiff's Petition for Hearing on Naturalization Application Under 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b).

The initial paragraph consists of plaintiff's description of the litigation, to which no responsive pleading is required.

PARTIES

1. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph One.
2. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Two.

1 3. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Three.

2 4. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Four.

3 5. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Five.

4 **JURISDICTION**

5 6. Paragraph Six consists of plaintiff's allegation regarding jurisdiction, to which no
6 responsive pleading is required; however, to the extent a responsive pleading is deemed necessary,
7 defendants deny that this Court has jurisdiction under any of the provisions cited in Paragraph Six.

8 **VENUE**

9 7. Paragraph Seven consists of plaintiff's allegations regarding venue, to which no responsive
10 pleading is required.

11 **FACTS**

12 8. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Eight.

13 9. Defendants admit that plaintiff made a personal inquiry regarding her naturalization
14 application; however, Defendants are without sufficient information to either admit or deny
15 plaintiff's eligibility.

16 10. Defendants admit that more than 120 days have passed since the initial interview;
17 however, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph Ten.

18 **PRAYER**

19 12. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twelve.

20 13. Paragraph Thirteen consists of plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial
21 is required; to the extent a responsive pleading is deemed to be required, defendants deny the
22 allegations in Paragraph Thirteen.

23 14. Paragraph Fourteen consists of plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial
24 is required.

25 15. Paragraph Fifteen consists of plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial
26 is required.

27 17. Paragraph Seventeen consists of plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no admission or
28 denial is required.

1 18. Paragraph Eighteen consists of plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial
2 is required.

3 **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

4 The court should dismiss the complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) because plaintiff
5 fails to state a claim upon relief may be granted.

6 **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

7 The court should dismiss the complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) for lack of subject
8 matter jurisdiction.

9 WHEREFORE, defendants pray for relief as follows:

10 That judgment be entered for defendants and against plaintiff, dismissing plaintiff's Petition
11 with prejudice; that plaintiff takes nothing; and that the Court grant such further relief as it deems
12 just and proper under the circumstances.

13 Dated: September 28, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

14 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
15 United States Attorney

16 /s/
17 EDWARD OLSEN
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19 Attorneys for Defendants
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28